

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
09/712,821]	1/13/2000	Todd M. Kinsella	A-70036/RMS/JJD			
959	7590	08/26/2003					
LAHIVE &		IELD	EXAMINER				
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109				PONNALURI, P	NALURI, PADMASHRI		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1639	_		
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	Lo		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/712,821

Applicant(s)

_

niner

Padmashri Ponnaluri

Art Unit **1639**

Kinsella



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with	the correspondence address	
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In I			-	
If the pIf NO pFailureAny re	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	MONTHS fr B ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 🗶	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 6, 20	03		·	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair			· •	
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>13-37</u>	 		is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>21-24, 28-31, 32-37 (inpa</u>	art)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	Ĭ
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims 13-20, 25-27, 32-37 (inpart)	are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) accepted	or b)	\Box objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing(s) be held	l in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	on.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	•		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in App	lication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•			
a,∟ 15)□	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		priority under S	0.5.0	5. 33 120 alia/ol 121.	
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s)	
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		-	Application (PTO-152)	
3) 🔲 Inf	omation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1639

1. The reply filed on 2/5/03, paper number 17 and the amendment and response filed on 6/6/03 have been considered and entered into the application. To make the record clear examiner would like to withdraws the previous restriction requirement. Examiner apologizes for the inconvenience caused by the confusion.

To clear the issues the different communications in this application are reiterated. Inventions of group I, drawn to 'a composition comprising a retro viral vector comprising nucleic acid encoding HBEGF fused to nucleic acid encoding a GFP' was examined on merits and an office action was mailed to applicants 6/4/02.

Applicants in response to the office action canceled all the elected claims and added new claims which are drawn to several different and distinct vectors, which are in a way broader than the initial composition comprising retro viral vectors comprising HBEGF and GFP.

Examiner has restricted the different vectors (mailed on 11/26/02), applicants have elected group II, claims 21-24, 32-33 which are drawn to 'an expression vector comprising HBEGF and an IRES site' (response filed on 2/5/03), which is different from the initially examined group. Thus, examiner apologizes for the confusion and would like to withdraw claims drawn to vectors which have components other than HBEGF and GFP and reinstate the species election.

2. Newly submitted claims 21-24, 28-31 and 32-37 (in-part) are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the originally examined claims are drawn to vectors comprising HBEGF and GFP (only one selection gene), and the newly added claims 21-24, 28-31 and 32-37 (in-part) do not have GFP in addition to the HBEGF.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,821

Art Unit: 1639

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-24, 28-31 and 32-37 (in-part) are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CAR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 13-20 and 25-27, 32-37 (in-part) are currently are being examined in this application.

NOTE the original claims are examined as having only one selection gene (GFP). Thus all the claims drawn to more than one selection gene would not be examined in this application.

Election/Restriction

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicants are requested to elect a single species of each of the following:
- a) Applicants are requested to elect a single species between 2a site and CD9 site;
- b) Applicants are requested to elect a single species of promoter;
- c) Applicants are requested to elect a single species of GFP.

For this response to be complete and for search purposes, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single invention (i.e., single expression vector, in which the specific components are all defined; and the relationship between the components by position and/or

Art Unit: 1639

order of arrangement) or a diagram of the vector representing each of the components and the elected species for prosecution on the merits.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a)

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,821 Page 5

Art Unit: 1639

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR

1.143).

5. Applicant is required to reply to this restriction requirement within 30 days of mailing this

action. See MPEP 809.2(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to P. Ponnaluri whose telephone number is (703) 305-3884. The examiner is

on Increased Flex Schedule and can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7.00 AM

to 3.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Andrew Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

P. Ponnaluri

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Art Unit 1639

25 August 2003

ADMASHRI PONNALURI